

Innovation efficiency 2011

insight

The chart shows the spread of the innovation output of 125 countries as a function of the innovation input they use. The linear association between output and input is strong as one would expect : correlation coefficient r

= 0.85. Seventy one percent of output variation are explained by the input variation ($R^2 = 0.714$). However, some countries are more efficient than others in the way they use their inputs. The efficiency index, computed as the ratio of output per input times 100.



provides a best-in-class group : in descending order Côte d'Ivoire (106), Nigeria (103), China, Pakistan, Moldova (101), Sweden (92), Brazil (91), Argentina (90), Bangladesh (89) and India (89).

To better illustrate the relative efficiency of all countries, the chart is divided into four quadrants by the input median (red vertical line), and the output median (red horizontal line):

- Upper left quadrant : efficient innovators. This group includes those countries that succeed in getting an above median output from their below median input. Moldova, Brazil, Serbia, Argentina, India, Viet Nam can be found here. They are in relative terms the high-performers.
- Upper right quadrant : powerful innovators. Included are countries that both use above median inputs and obtain

above median outputs. As expected, such countries as Switzerland, Sweden, Netherlands, Germany, United States, Finland, China, Israel, Korea fall in this quadrant.

> Lower left quadrant : modest innovators. Here one finds countries that use below median inputs and achieve below median outputs. Destitute economies

prevail in this quadrant : Niger, Yemen, Ethiopia, Zambia, Rwanda.

• Lower right quadrant : inefficient innovators. Although they use above median inputs, their innovation output is low. In other terms, some innovation resources are wasted. Examples are Bahrain, Saudi Arabia, Mongolia, Greece.

Given the high correlation of output with input, we can get a better insight of the innovation efficiency ranking by looking at the residuals (difference between the computed output score and the line of fit). Now, the top ten ranking is as follows (residual in brackets) : China (14.1), Sweden (12.4), Moldova (12.2), Switzerland (10.3), Nigeria (10.0), Côte d'Ivoire (9.5), Pakistan (9.3), Hungary (8.8), Netherlands (8.6) and Brazil (8.5).. At the opposite end, the 10 least performers are :





Bahrain (-14.8), Algeria (-14.4), Namibia (-11.8), Niger (-10.0), Bosnia and Herzegovina (-10.0), United Arab Emirates (-9.4), Singapore (-8.9), South Africa (-8.8), Australia (-8.5) and Kenya (-8.3).

INS

Such a brief data analysis does not justify any conclusions about the why's and the how's of innovation — even assuming that the methodology used by the source is trustworthy and robust. However, the neighborhood of Moldova and Sweden in the top 10 group may suggest some theory about innovation, for example that countries with huge differences as regards their wealth, economic background and cultural structure can still achieve relative high innovation outputs by adopting proper policies.

A similar reasoning may be applied to the low performers : Singapore and Niger both fall considerably below the line of fit, likely for quite different reasons. Singapore is known for its investment effort in the advanced technologies and in modernizing its economy, but apparently it is not getting enough bang for the buck — could it be a situation of misplaced bets ? Niger may be a plain case of a struggle for survival that does not leave much breathing space to organize for innovation. Further investigation would be required to find the answers.

Country	Rank G	Innovation	Innovation Input Index 1	Innovation Output Index ²	Efficiency Inde:
Albania	80	index)30.45	38.29	22.62	5
Argentina	58	35.36	37.29	33.44	9
Armenia Australia	69 21	33 49.85	37.1 62.81	28.9 36.89	7
Austria	19	50.75	59.28	42.21	7
Azerbaijan Bahrain	88 46	29.17 37.8	37.21 52.73	21.13 22.87	4
3angladesh Beloium	97 24	28.05 49.05	29.64 58.44	26.47	8
Benin	118	23.81	28.26	19.35	6
3olivia Bosnia and Herzegovina	112	25.44 30.84	30.37 42.1	20.51 19.58	6
Botswana	79	30.51	40.37	20.65	5
Brunei Darussalam	75	30.93	39.19	22.68	5
3ulgaria Burkina Easo	42	38.42 23.14	44.2 29.24	32.64 17.04	7
Cambodia	111	25.46	31.24	19.68	6
Jameroon Canada	103	26.95 56.33	30.12 64.41	23.79 48.26	7
Chile	38	38.84	48.09	29.6	6
Colombia	71	32.32	38.72	25.92	6
Costa Rica Côte d'ivoire	45	37.91 24.08	42.22 23.4	33.6 24.77	8
Croatia	44	37.98	45	30.96	6
Cyprus Czech Republic	28	46.45 47.3	52.38 53.11	40.52	7
Denmark Envoder	6	56.96	64.57	49.34	7
≟cuador ≣gypt	93	28.75	32.57 35.08	24.94 23.34	6
El Salvador	90	29.14	34.6	23.67	6
Ethiopia	121	22.88	29.29	16.47	5
-inland France	5 22	57.5 49.25	64.71 55.61	50.29 42 9	7
Georgia	73	31.87	38.54	25.2	6
∋ermany Shana	12 70	54.89 32.48	59.04 39.84	50.74 25.12	6
Greece Guatemals	63	34.18	42.48	25.89	6
Suyana	61	29.33 34.83	33.18 38.7	25.49 30.95	7
Honduras Hong Kong (SAr), Chiese	98	27.81	33.08	22.53	6
lungary	25	48.12	51.04	45.2	8
celand ndia	11 62	55.1 34.52	62.48 36.47	47.72	7 я
ndonesia	99	27.78	33.57	21.99	6
ran reland	95 13	28.41 54.1	30.91 65.53	25.91 42.67	6
srael	14	54.03	59.12	48.94	8
lanaica	92	28.88	47.66	33.49 18.87	4
Japan	20	50.32	59.34 41.34	41.3	7
Kazakhstan	84	30.32	39.86	20.77	5
Kenya Korea Republic	89 16	29.15 53.68	39.24 59.43	19.05 47.93	4
Kuwait	52	36.64	42.44	30.85	7
<yrgyzstan _atvia</yrgyzstan 	85 36	29.79 39.8	34.93 47.46	24.65 32.14	6
_ebanon	49	37.11	40.88	33.34	8
.uxembourg	40	52.65	47.40	29.52	6
vlacedonia Vladagas car	67	33.47	40.37	26.57	6
Valawi	108	25.96	32.82	19.11	5
vlalaysia Vlali	31 107	44.05 26.35	52.94 29.85	35.17 22.85	6
vlauritius	53	36.47	44.79	28.15	6
viexico Violdova, Republic	81 39	30.45	37.47 38.4	23.42 38.92	10
Vongolia	68	33.4	42.31	24.49	5
Namibia	78	30.74	43.01	18.46	4
vetherlands New Zealand	9	56.31 53.79	60.42 60.97	52.2 46.61	8
vicaragua	110	25.78	31.13	20.44	6
vliger Vligeria	122	21.41 28.15	31.44 27.72	11.38 28.58	3
Vorway	18	52.6	61.15	44.04	7
Pakistan	57	35.51 26.75	46.23 26.57	24.79 26.94	10
Panama Paraquay	77	30.77	40.73	20.82	5
^o eru	83	30.34	39.06	21.63	5
Philippines	91 43	28.98 38.02	34 46.29	23.96 29.74	
Portugal	33	42.4	50.32	34.47	6
Jatar Romania	26 50	47.74 36.83	51.71 41.8	43.77 31.86	8
Russian Federation	56	35.85	40.79	30.91	7
Saudi Arabia	54	25.66 36.44	34.73 45.94	26.94	4
Senegal Serbia	100	27.56	30.73	24.38	7 9
Singapore	3	59.64	74.11	45.18	6
siovak Republic Slovenia	37 30	39.05 45.07	48.27 51.29	29.83 38.86	6
South Africa	59	35.22	46.37	24.07	5
spain Sri Lanka	32 82	43.81 30.36	52.43 33.2	35.19 27.53	6
Sudan Swaziland	124	20.36	26.06	14.65	5
Sweden	101	27.52 62.12	36.93 64.85	18.11 59.4	9
Switzerland Syrian Arab Republic	115	63.82 24.82	66.07	58.2	8
fajikistan	116	24.5	27.64	21.36	7
I anzania Thailand	104 48	26.88 37.63	30.45 43.33	23.3 31.93	7
frinidad and Tobago	72	32.17	40.86	23.47	5
runisia Furkey	66 65	33.89 34.11	38.21 37.96	29.57 30.25	7
Jganda	106	26.37	29.86	22.87	7
Jnited Arab Emirates	60 34	35.01 41.99	39.59 54.38	30.42 29.61	5
Jnited Kingdom	10	55.96	63.66	48.27	7
Jruguay	64	56.57 34.18	62.84 39.69	50.3 28.67	8
/enezuela /iet Nam	102	27.41	29.48	25.35	8
remen	123	20.72	40.09	14.44	5
Zambia	114 119	25.27 23.54	33.81 26.82	16.73 20.26	4
imbabwe	. 1.9	20.04	20.02	20.20	· '
Imbabwe Median			40.09	28.15	
Zimbabwe Median 1 The Innovation Input Ind environment, Regulatory	lex is the si environmer	mple average of nt, Business envi	40.09 5 sub-indexes : ironment), Hum	28.15 Institutions (Po an capital and re	litical esearch
2mbabwe Median 1 The Innovation Input Ind Invironment, Regulatory - Education, Tertiary educ Ofrastructure). Market acc	lex is the si environmen ation, Rese	mple average of nt, Business envi earch and develo	40.09 5 sub-indexes : ironment), Hum pment), Infrastr	28.15 Institutions (Po an capital and re ucture (ICT, Ene competition)	itical search argy, General

Source : INSEAD - The Global Innovation Index.